Fiscal Estimate - 2009 Session

	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental				
LRB	Number	09-3165/1		Intro	duction Numl	oer A	B-0518				
Description Requiring a person to report a stolen or lost firearm, creating a database for stolen and lost firearms, and providing a penalty											
Fiscal	Effect										
	No State Fisc Indeterminate Increase E Appropria Decrease Appropria	e Existing tions Existing	Revenue	es e Existinç	to abs		- May be possible n agency's budget ☑ No s				
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Cities Counties Others School WTCS Districts											
Fund Sources Affected Affected Ch. 20 Appropriations GPR FED PRO PRS SEG SEGS											
Agen	cy/Prepared	Ву	Au	thorized	Signature		Date				
SPD/ Mike Tobin (608) 266-8259 Krist				sta Ginge	ta Ginger (608) 264-8572						

Fiscal Estimate Narratives SPD 10/27/2009

LRB Number	09-3165/1	Introduction Number	AB-0518	Estimate Type	Original				
Description Requiring a person to report a stolen or lost firearm, creating a database for stolen and lost firearms, and									
providing a penalty									

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

The State Public Defender (SPD) is statutorily authorized and required to appoint attorneys to represent indigent defendants in criminal proceedings. The SPD plays a major role in ensuring that the Wisconsin justice system complies with the right to counsel provided by both the state and federal constitutions. Any legislation has the potential to increase SPD costs if it creates a new criminal offense, expands the definition of an existing criminal offense, or increases the penalties for an existing offense.

This bill creates new criminal offenses related to firearms. The new misdemeanor offenses would be for failing to report the loss or theft of a firearm and for failing to notify the buyer, when selling a firearm, of the duty to report the loss or theft of a firearm (the bill also creates a duty to report, after having reported the loss or theft of a firearm, the recovery of the same firearm; however, the bill does not appear to provide any criminal penalty for failure to perform this duty). The SPD's average cost to provide representation in a misdemeanor case is \$214.11, calculated on the basis of the SPD's average cost per case in fiscal year 2009.

Because probation could be ordered upon conviction for the additional cases attributable to the proposed expansion of the criminal offense, this change would indirectly lead to additional cases in which the Department of Corrections (DOC) would seek to revoke probation. The SPD provides representation in proceedings commenced by the Department of Corrections (DOC) to revoke supervision. Thus, the bill would indirectly increase the number of cases in which the SPD appoints attorneys in revocation proceedings. The average cost during fiscal year 2009 for SPD representation by a private bar attorney in a revocation proceeding was \$382.18.

Therefore, the SPD would incur additional costs because of additional misdemeanor and revocation cases attributable to this bill. The SPD has no data to predict the number of additional cases that would result from the change proposed in this bill. Because of the annual caseloads for staff attorney positions specified for budgeting purposes under § 977.08(5), Stats., it would be more cost effective to add staff attorney positions if a significant number of SPD cases resulted from this provision of the bill.

Counties are also subject to increased costs when a new crime is created. There are some defendants who, despite exceeding the SPD's statutory financial guidelines, are constitutionally eligible for appointment of counsel because it would be a substantial hardship for them to retain an attorney. The court is required to appoint counsel at county expense for these defendants. Thus, the counties would experience increased costs attributable to additional criminal charges resulting from this bill. The counties could also incur additional costs associated with incarceration of defendants, pending trial (or pending a proceeding to revoke probation) and after sentencing.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications